

$\Lambda(1820)$ F_{05} $I(J^P) = 0(\frac{5}{2}^+)$ Status: ***

This resonance is the cornerstone for all partial-wave analyses in this region. Most of the results published before 1973 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

Most of the quoted errors are statistical only; the systematic errors due to the particular parametrizations used in the partial-wave analyses are not included. For this reason we do not calculate weighted averages for the mass and width.

 $\Lambda(1820)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1815 to 1825 (≈ 1820) OUR ESTIMATE			
1823 \pm 3	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1819 \pm 2	ALSTON...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1822 \pm 2	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1821 \pm 2	KANE	74	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1830	DECLAIS	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1817 or 1819	¹ MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

 $\Lambda(1820)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
70 to 90 (≈ 80) OUR ESTIMATE			
77 \pm 5	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
72 \pm 5	ALSTON...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
81 \pm 5	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
87 \pm 3	KANE	74	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
82	DECLAIS	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
76 or 76	¹ MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

 $\Lambda(1820)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 N\bar{K}$	55–65 %
$\Gamma_2 \Sigma\pi$	8–14 %
$\Gamma_3 \Sigma(1385)\pi$	5–10 %
$\Gamma_4 \Sigma(1385)\pi$, <i>P</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_5 \Sigma(1385)\pi$, <i>F</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_6 \Lambda\eta$	
$\Gamma_7 \Sigma\pi\pi$	

The above branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

$\Lambda(1820)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

Errors quoted do not include uncertainties in the parametrizations used in the partial-wave analyses and are thus too small. See also "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_1/Γ
0.55 to 0.65 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.58 \pm 0.02	GOPAL 80	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$	
0.60 \pm 0.03	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.51	DECLAIS 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$	
0.57 \pm 0.02	GOPAL 77	DPWA	See GOPAL 80	
0.59 or 0.58	¹ MARTIN 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
-0.28 \pm 0.03	GOPAL 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	
-0.28 \pm 0.01	KANE 74	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
-0.25 or -0.25	¹ MARTIN 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Lambda\eta$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_6)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
-0.096 $^{+0.040}_{-0.020}$	RADER 73	MPWA	

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	Γ_7/Γ
no clear signal	² ARMENTEROS68c	HDPC	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma\pi\pi$	

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$, P-wave

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
-0.167 \pm 0.054	³ CAMERON 78	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$	
+0.27 \pm 0.03	PREVOST 74	DPWA	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$	

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$, F-wave

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
+0.065 \pm 0.029	³ CAMERON 78	DPWA	$K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$	

$\Lambda(1820)$ FOOTNOTES

¹ The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.

² There is a suggestion of a bump, enough to be consistent with what is expected from $\Sigma(1385) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$ decay.

³ The published sign has been changed to be in accord with the baryon-first convention.

$\Lambda(1820)$ REFERENCES

PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL) IJP
ALSTON-...	78	PR D18 182	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
Also		PRL 38 1007	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
CAMERON	78	NP B143 189	W. Cameron <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOIC) IJP
DECLAIS	77	CERN 77-16	Y. Declais <i>et al.</i>	(CAEN, CERN) IJP
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
MARTIN	77	NP B127 349	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock, R.G. Moorhouse	(LOUC+) IJP
Also		NP B126 266	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC)
Also		NP B126 285	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC) IJP
KANE	74	LBL-2452	D.F. Kane	(LBL) IJP
PREVOST	74	NP B69 246	J. Prevost <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, CERN, HEID)
RADER	73	NC 16A 178	R.K. Rader <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, HEID, CERN+) IJP
ARMENTEROS	68C	NP B8 216	R. Armenteros <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEID, SACL) I